



# Fever Without a Source Clinical Guideline

## Definition

For the purpose of this pathway, Fever Without a Source (FWS) is defined as an acute febrile illness with temperature of 38°C (100.4°F) or greater taken rectally and no identifiable source of infection following a thorough history and physical examination in patients under 6 months of age. Patients with serious and/or life-threatening infection, especially young infants, may present with hypothermia (below 36°C or 96.8°F) and may be treated using this pathway. Approximately 12% of infants under 30 days of age and 9% of infants 30-90 days of age will have a serious bacterial infection (SBI), such as bacteremia, meningitis, or urinary tract infection (UTI). Because the clinical exam alone is unable to reliably predict serious bacterial illness in young infants, providers must rely on a combination of history, exam, diagnostic tests, and risk factors to reduce morbidity and mortality in this patient population.

## Epidemiology

The most common cause of fever without localizing signs is a viral infection. The key point of evaluation is distinguishing which young infants have a serious bacterial infection and using a standardized assessment to stratify risks for these infections in young infants.

Most studies used to stratify risk for serious bacterial infection in neonates have defined a fever as a rectal temperature of 38°C (100.4°F) or greater. In our recommendations we use a cutoff of 38°C for evaluation of infants < 3 months for fever and a cutoff of 39°C (102.2°F) for older children.

While viral infections are the most common cause of fever in young infants, neonates less than 28 days have a particularly higher risk of invasive bacterial infection (up to 14%).<sup>1,2</sup> This document aims to provide a risk-stratified method of distinguishing low risk vs high risk of invasive bacterial infection based on age, clinical appearance, and specific risk factors for certain bacterial infections. These pathways should not be used for the ill appearing young infant who by definition is considered higher risk for invasive bacterial infection.<sup>3,4</sup>

## Etiology

Neonates are most commonly infected via perinatal vertical transmission or postnatal exposure to organisms. Perinatal vertical transmission usually manifests within 48 to 72 hours after birth. Early-onset sepsis is defined as occurring within the first week of life and late-onset sepsis occurs beyond 7 days of age. Group B *Streptococcus* used to be the predominant pathogen in neonatal sepsis in the 1970s but with GBS screening and intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis, there has been an approximate 80% reduction in Group B *Streptococcal* infection rates. Recent studies demonstrate that Escherichia coli is now the most common organism to cause bacteremia; it is the leading or second most common cause of bacterial meningitis in infants 1 to 60 days of age.<sup>26,46-49</sup> Now, gram-negative pathogens are the cause of infection in about 80% of young infants. *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* are noted to be the most common gram-negative pathogens. The shift from Gram-positive to Gram-negative predominance has implications for the choice of tests, interpretation of values for decision-making, and the selection of antimicrobial drugs. The majority of bacterial infections in this patient population are identified as urinary tract infections.

## Guideline Eligibility Criteria

### 0-60 days:

Non-toxic with temperature  $\geq$  38°C (100.4°F) measured in Emergency Department OR reported measurement at home.

### 2-6 months:

Non-toxic with temperature > 39°C (102.2°F) OR < 36°C (96.8°F) measured in Emergency Department OR reported measurement at home.

## Guideline Exclusion Criteria

-Toxic appearing
-No fever
-Born < 37 weeks gestational age</li>
-High suspicion for HSV (vesicles or seizures)
-Documented or suspected immune compromise
-Neonatal course complicated by surgery or infection
-Congenital/chromosomal abnormality
-Medically fragile (ie, technology to sustain life)
-Received immunizations in the past 48 hrs

### Additional exclusion criteria for Age 0-21 Days:

-All above AND Infants <2 weeks of age whose perinatal courses were complicated by maternal fever, infection, and/or antimicrobial use

## Differential Diagnosis

Fever in the young infant most often raises the concern for underlying infection. Other causes of fever, such as environmental or toxin exposure should be sought in the history.

Etiologic causes of infection in the infant less than 90 days of age is a dynamic subject. Changes in pediatric medical practice over the past 20 years such as the use of new immunizations have had an impact on the epidemiology of various infections. These include the routine use of rotavirus vaccine, influenza vaccination of mothers, pneumococcal, Haemophilus influenza and varicella vaccines. In addition, widespread Group B streptococcal screening and intrapartum maternal antibiotic therapy has had an impact on the prevalence of Group B streptococcal infections. Ages, appearance, comorbidities, prematurity < 37 weeks gestation, height of fever, history of specific exposures to antibiotics are all risk factors for the presence of infection.<sup>7,8</sup>

The etiologies for infectious causes of the febrile infant less than 90 days old include:

### Viral Infections

- These infections are the most common cause of fever in young infants. Studies of febrile young infants, including neonates, support an identifiable viral etiology in 17-35% of patients. <sup>5,6</sup>
- Acquisition may be vertical from the mother in utero, during the birth process or exposure after birth to close family members and community
- Viurses can cause increased morbidity in young infants due to specific deficiencies in their functional immune system.
- Viruses that are important agents include HSV, Enterovirus, CMV, Varicella, RSV, Influenza, and Adenovirus.

**Bacterial Infections** 

- Invasive and serious bacterial infections in infants include urinary tract infections, blood stream infections, pneumonia, meningitis, omphalitis, skin and soft tissues infections, bone and joint infections, and gastroenteritis.
- These agents account for 10-14% of infections in the young febrile infant. <sup>5,6</sup>
- Invasive bacterial infection can be caused by Gram negatives such as E coli, Enterobacter, Klebsiella, Salmonella and Gram positives such as Group B streptococci, S. aureus, S. epidermidis, Listeria, Enterococcus. 9,10
- E coli is the most common bacterial infection in the young febrile infant and is the primary cause of UTI in this age group. <sup>9,10</sup>

The prevalence of Group B streptococcus (GBS) is decreasing with the advent of widespread maternal screening and intrapartum prophylaxis for this infection. S. aureus is important in skin and soft tissue infection; S epidermidis may play a role in pre-term infants.<sup>9,10</sup>

Evidence Supports	Evidence Lacking/Inconclusive	Evidence Against
Narrow antibiotic coverage for patients 0-28 days with low risk of meningitis.	Patients 0-28 days: Ampicillin and Gentamicin as a first line therapy with Cefotaxime/Cefepime and Ampicillin used in patients with high suspicion of meningitis. Antibiotic choices are also based on local susceptibilities.	Necessity of lumbar puncture in patients greater than 28 days of age.
Cohort patients 0-28 days into subgroups that should have HSV workup or not.	Monitoring cultures for 36 hours.	
Cohort patient 29-60 days into subgroups by risk of SBI.		
Not getting LP in patients 29-60 days at low risk of SBI.		
Patients 28-60 days: Use of ceftriaxone to as outpatient management in patients at low risk for SBI and HSV.		

## Recommendations

## **Diagnostic Evaluation**

#### **Clinical presentation**

- 1. Fever (>38°C or 100.4°F rectally) without clinically identifiable source in infants age 0-60 days of life -OR-
- 2. Hypothermia<sup>1</sup> (<36°C) without clinically identifiable source in infants age 0-60 days of life Applies to temperature measured in Emergency Department or reported from home

#### Laboratory Tests

Laboratory tests, though some may be non-specific, can provide evidence towards a potential serious bacterial infection (SBI) or other viral pathology as the fever source, prompting further evaluation and treatment

- 1. Complete blood count (CBC)
  - Leukocytosis or leukopenia defined as white blood cell (WBC) count >15,000/mm<sup>3</sup> or <5,000/mm<sup>3</sup>)
  - b. Increased immature cells (presence of bands or "left shift")
  - c. Thrombocytopenia (Platelet count <100,000/mm<sup>3</sup>) can be seen in severe sepsis or secondary to a viral process
- 2. Complete metabolic panel
  - a. In patients with severe sepsis, acidosis, electrolyte disturbances, elevation in serum creatinine, hypoalbuminemia and transaminitis can be seen
  - b. Transaminitis can also be seen with certain viral infections such as disseminated Herpes simplex virus
  - c. If dosing ceftriaxone in patient under 28 days of life, consider screening total bilirubin due to risk of bilirubin displacement
- 3. Urinalysis with Micro
  - a. Pyuria (>5 WBC per HPF via standard method and/or positive leukocyte esterase) provides evidence of urinary tract inflammation, most commonly from acute cystitis or pyelonephritis
  - b. Nitrites can indicate presence of certain gram negative bacteria within the urine, though generally have a low sensitivity for diagnosis of cystitis or pyelonephritis specifically
- 4. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis
  - a. CSF pleocytosis (increased WBC count) according to age specific norms indicates inflammatory process most commonly seen with infectious etiologies such as meningitis or meningoencephalitis

Normal CSF WBC values based on age		
0-28 days	0-22/mm <sup>3</sup>	
≥ 29 days	0-7/mm <sup>3</sup>	

b. Increased protein can be seen in the setting of meningitis or meningoencephalitis

Normal CSF protein	values based on age
0-30 days	<100 mg/dL
> 30 days	15-45 mg/dL

c. Glucose can be decreased in acute bacterial meningitis

Normal CSF Glucose	values based on age
0-28 days	34-119 mg/dL
≥ 29 days	40-80 mg/dL

- d. Gram stain can provide evidence of bacterial pathogens present in CNS
- 5. Cultures
  - a. Cultures of blood, urine and CSF should be obtained to rule out presence of bacterial pathogen
  - b. Stool culture can be considered in patient where significant diarrhea is present to rule out bacterial pathogen. Fecal WBCs can be seen in significant colitis as well as other non-infectious sources.
- 6. Molecular diagnostics
  - a. Herpes simplex virus if concerned for acute HSV disease, following workup should be obtained for complete evaluation
    - i. HSV PCR blood
    - ii. HSV PCR CSF (can be included in Biofire see section d.)
    - iii. HSV surface cultures
  - b. Enterovirus PCR in CSF can provide etiology of pleocytosis in the absence of positive bacterial culture (can be included in Biofire see section d.)
  - c. Rapid viral testing for Influenza and RSV, when taken in context of correlating clinical symptoms and community prevalence can provide evidence of a fever source in the absence of suspected SBI.
  - d. PCR panels (Respiratory pathogen panel, Biofire of CSF) provide rapid PCR testing for a variety of bacterial and viral pathogens and can be helpful in identifying fever source in cases where positive results would affect clinical management and potential outcomes such as
    - i. Antibiotic pretreatment where bacterial culture may not be reliable
    - ii. Initiation of antimicrobials (HSV encephalitis, mycoplasma pneumonia, pertussis, etc)

### Imaging

Chest X-Ray can be considered if concerned for an acute lower respiratory tract infection based on clinical

symptoms.

## Fever Without a Source: Age 0-21 Day Pathway



## Fever Without a Source: Age 22-28 Day Pathway



dell children's Ascension

### Fever Without a Source: Age 29-60 Day Pathway



## Fever Without a Source: Age 2-6 Months Pathway

#### **Evidence Based Outcome Center**





dell children's

Last Updated: September 2022





> 2 months – Not Toilet Trained		Toilet Trained – 18 years	
Probability of UTI > 1%: 2 or more risk factors Female Risk Factors*	Probability of UTI > 1%: Uncircumcised OR Circumcised with 3 or more Bisk	All Patients Symptoms referable to urinary tract Prior history of UTI, fever ≥ 2 days	
Non-black $T \ge 39^{\circ}C$ Fever $\ge 2$ days	Factors Male Risk Factors*	Prolonged fever (≥ 5 days) Recommend screening for any of the above factors	
No apparent source of fever Age < 12 months *Recommend screening if prior history	Non-black $T \ge 39^{\circ}C$ Fever $\ge 2$ days No apparent source of fever Age < 6 months		



FEVER WITHOUT A SOURCE CLINICAL GUIDELINE - SEPTEMBER 2022





**DCMC Positive Urinalysis (UA) Definition:** The presence of Leukocyte Esterase <u>or</u> Nitrites <u>or</u> microscopic analysis results positive for leukocytes or bacteria is suggestive of an active UTI. When more than one of these findings is present at the same time, the sensitivity and specificity increase significantly.

Dell Children's and Seton Family of Hospitals does not currently perform an enhanced urinalysis on urine specimens routinely. The following criteria are guide in diagnosing a UTI in young children using the standard method of collection and processing.

Diagnostic	Interpretation			
Nitrites	• Poor sensitivity: Conversion of nitrates to nitrites by bacteria takes approximately 4 hours and			
	not all bacteria reduce nitrate levels combined with frequency of infants voiding.			
	<ul> <li>Helpful wh</li> </ul>	en positive. Few fa	lse positives and h	igh specificity.
Leukocyte Esterase	<ul> <li>Positive let their urine</li> </ul>	ukocyte esterase is in conditions othe	suggestive of a UT r than a UTI (e.g. Ka	I. However, children may have WBC present in awasaki Disease)
White Blood Cells	Positive if:			
(WBC) - Pyuria	• $\geq$ 5 WBC p	er HBF via standaro	d method	
	Pyuria is at	osent in approxima	tely 10% of childre	n with a UTI
Bacteriuria	Presence of ba	icteriuria alone in t	he absence of othe	er findings does not define a UTI.
Culture				
Method	Definite*	Indeterminant <sup>+</sup>	Contaminant	
Suprapubic	Any growth		Growth of non-pa	athogens, Mixed culture
Catheter	≥ 50,000	≥ 10,000	Growth of non-pathogens, Mixed culture, < 10,000 CFU/mI	
	CFU/ML	CFU/ML		
* If also with presence of pyuria or bacteriuria				
+ Consider obtainin	ig repeat speci	men		
Mixed Culture = uro	Mixed Culture = uropathogen + non-pathogen or two uropathogens			5
Bag UA specimens	should never b	e sent for urine c	ulture. Only cath	eter or suprapubic methods are
appropriate for cult	ture collection	in this age.		
Uropathogens				
Gram Negative Gram		Gram Positive		Non-pathogens
Escherichia coli (~80%)		Staphylococcus saprophyticus		Lactobacillus
Klebsiella En		Enterococcus		Coagulase-negative Staph
Proteus Staphylococcu		Staphylococcus au	ureus	Corynebacterium
Enterobacter				
Citrobacter				

Fever without a source Pathways 0-21Days (22-28Days) 29-60 Days (2-6 Months)





Patients with any of the following conditions should be considered for a Herpes Simplex Virus work up and empiric treatment: Historical and Clinical Features Severe illness / Hypothermia / Lethargy Seizures Hepatosplenomegaly Postnatal HSV contact Vesicular rash Conjunctivitis Interstitial pneumonitis Laboratory Findings Thrombocytopenia CSF pleocytosis without clear bacterial infection Transaminitis

### Herpes Simplex Virus work-up consist of the following labs:

- ☑ Herpes Simplex 1&2 Subtype by PCR of blood
- ☑ Herpes Simplex 1&2 Subtype by PCR of CSF
- ☑ Herpes Simplex 1&2 Subtype by PCR of surface cultures
  - 🗹 Conjunctiva
  - ☑ Throat
  - ☑ Nasopharynx
  - 🗹 Rectum
  - ☑ Vesicle (if present)

Fever without a source Pathway 0-21Days 22-28Days 29-60 Days 2-6 Months

Last Updated: September 21, 2022





Contraindications for Ceftriaxone in patients < 28 days of age:

## Gestational age < 37 weeks

## Postnatal age < 7 days Patient expected to or receiving calcium containing IV products

lotal billrubi	n > 10mg/dL (See risk	factors for hyperb	ilirubinemia)
	Risk factors for hyperbilirubinemia		
	ABO incompatibility	Albumin < 3g/dL	

HDN	Dehydration
Lethargy	Weightloss
Temperature instability	Poor feeding
Sepsis	Irritability
Acidosis	Jaundice



FEVER WITHOUT A SOURCE CLINICAL GUIDELINE - SEPTEMBER 2022

### Fever Without a Source Antimicrobial and Antiviral Dose Recommendations

### **Evidence Based Outcome Center**

Recommended Doses for Antimicrobials				
Drug <sup>a,b,c,d,e,f</sup>	Dose	Duration <sup>e</sup> (for rule out period) <sup>f</sup>		
Ampicillin	NON-MENINGITIC 0-7 days of age: 50 mg/kg/DOSE IV or IM q8h MENINGITIC 0-7 days of age: 100 mg/kg/DOSE IV q8h MENINGITIC > 7-28 days of age: 75 mg/kg/DOSE IV q6h	5 doses 5 doses 6 doses		
Cefepime <sup>b</sup>	0-28 days of age: 50 mg/kg/DOSE IV or IM q12h > 28 days of age: 50 mg/kg/DOSE IV or IM q8h	3 doses 5 doses		
Ceftriaxone <sup>c</sup>	NON-MENINGITIC > 7 days of age: 75 mg/kg/DOSE IV or IM QDay MENINGITIC > 7 days of age: 50 mg/kg/DOSE IV q12h MENINGITIC (ED ONLY) > 7 days of age: 100 mg/kg/DOSE IV <sup>g</sup> X 1	2 doses 3 doses 1 dose		
Gentamicin <sup>d</sup>	0-7 days of age: 4 mg/kg/DOSE IV or IM q24h	2 doses		
Vancomycin <sup>d</sup>	MENINGITIC > 28 days of age: 15 mg/kg/DOSE IV q6h	6 doses		
	Recommended Dose for UTI (Uncomplicated Cystitis)			
Drug	Dose	Duration		
Cefazolin	UTI without BACTEREMIA: 17 mg/kg/DOSE IV or IM q8h UTI with BACTEREMIA: 33 mg/kg/DOSE IV or IM q8h	Total duration IV + PO = 10 days		
Cephalexin	17 mg/kg/DOSE PO TID			
Recommended Dose for Antiviral				
Drug	Dose	Duration		
Acyclovir	20 mg/kg/DOSE IV q8h (0-3 months)	5 doses OR until HSV surface cultures AND PCR Blood & CSF negative (contact Infectious Disease if not resulted within 5 doses) <b>Exceptions:</b> Seizures, lethargy, or ongoing fever		

<sup>a</sup>Dosing in this table is for patients with normal renal function. Please contact the pharmacy for assistance with dosing in renal insufficiency.

<sup>b</sup>Cefotaxime is no longer formulary at DCMC due to supply instability. In the instance cefotaxime should be available, cefotaxime could be substituted for cefepime, using the following doses:

- 0 to 7 days of age: 50 mg/kg/dose IV or IM q8h
- > 7 days: 50 mg/kg/dose IV or IM q6h

<sup>c</sup>Ceftriaxone is contraindicated with calcium containing IV products or hyperbilirubinemia. Meningitic dosing of ceftriaxone is 80-100 mg/kg/day divided every 12-24 hours but CSF concentrations are optimal when dosed at 50mg/kg/dose IV q12h; once daily dosing should be reserved for patients to be discharged from the ED. IM dosing is inappropriate for meningitic coverage.

<sup>d</sup>For gentamicin or vancomycin, serum drug levels are not necessary unless treatment is anticipated or continued for more than 2 doses, SCr is increased more than 0.3 mg/dL from normal value for age, or UOP less than 1 ml/kg/hr.

<sup>e</sup>Duration includes any doses given in the emergency department.

<sup>f</sup>If cultures become positive at any time, treat specific condition, narrow agent, and lengthen antibiotic duration as appropriate.

<sup>g</sup>Ceftriaxone 100 mg/kg IV X 1 for any ill appearing neonate, with the first inpatient dose starting 12-24 hours after initial dose.

**Evidence Based Outcome Center** 

Suspected Source of Infection	0-7 Days	8-21 Days	22-28 Days	29-60 Days
UTI w/o bacteremia			Cefazolin (17 mg/kg/DOSE) IV or IM q8h	Cefazolin (17 mg/kg/DOSE) IV or IM q8h
UTI w/ bacteremia			Cefazolin (33 mg/kg/DOSE) IV or IM q8h	Cefazolin (33 mg/kg/DOSE) IV or IM q8h
No Focus Identified	Ampicillin (50 mg/kg/DOSE) IV or IM q8h + Gentamicin (4 mg/kg/DOSE) IV or IM q24hr	Ceftriaxone (75 mg/kg/DOSE) IV or IM qDay or Cefepime (50 mg/kg/DOSE) IV or IM q12h (when ceftriaxone contraindicated)	Ceftriaxone (75 mg/kg/DOSE) IV or IM qDay or Cefepime (50 mg/kg/DOSE) IV or IM q12h (when ceftriaxone contraindicated)	Ceftriaxone (75 mg/kg/DOSE) IV or IM qDay
Meningitis	Ampicillin (100 mg/kg/DOSE) IV q8h + Cefepime (50 mg/kg/DOSE) IV q12h +/- Acyclovir (20 mg/kg/DOSE) IV q8h (if clinical concerns of HSV)	Ampicillin (75 mg/kg/DOSE) IV q6h + Ceftriaxone (50 mg/kg/DOSE) IV q12h or Cefepime (50 mg/kg/DOSE) IV q12h (when ceftriaxone contraindicated) +/- Acyclovir (20 mg/kg/DOSE) IV q8h (if clinical concerns of HSV)	Ampicillin (75 mg/kg/DOSE) IV q6h + Ceftriaxone (50 mg/kg/DOSE) IV q12h or Cefepime (50 mg/kg/DOSE) IV q12h (when ceftriaxone contraindicated) +/- Acyclovir (20 mg/kg/DOSE) IV q8h (if clinical concerns of HSV)	Ceftriaxone (50 mg/kg/DOSE) IV q12h + Vancomycin (15 mg/kg/DOSE) IV q6h +/- Acyclovir (20 mg/kg/DOSE) IV q8h (if clinical concerns of HSV)

NOTE: This table replicates the information in the table above.

## Methods

### **Existing External Guidelines/Clinical Pathways**

Existing External Guideline/Clinical Pathway	Organization and Author	Last Update
Fever Without Localizing Signs	Texas Children's Hospital	2009
Neonatal Fever Pathway	Seattle Children's	2017
Febrile Infant Clinical Pathway	Children's Hospital of Philadelphia	2015
AAP VIP Network – REVISE II Project	American Academy of Pediatrics	2021

Any published clinical guidelines have been evaluated for this review using the **AGREE II criteria**. The comparisons of these guidelines are found at the end of this document. **AGREE II criteria** include evaluation of: Guideline Scope and Purpose, Stakeholder Involvement, Rigor of Development, Clarity of Presentation, Applicability, and Editorial Independence.

#### **Review of Relevant Evidence: Search Strategies and Databases Reviewed**

Search Strategies	Document Strategies Used
Search Terms Used:	Infant, neonate, less than 7 days of age, 28 days of age, risk of serious bacterial infections, herpes simplex virus, risk stratification, blood stream infection, enterovirus, antibiotic course, septic workup, sepsis, positive urine analysis, lumbar puncture, hospital admission, antibiotic management
Years Searched - All Questions	2007 - 2017
Language	English
Age of Subjects	0 – 6 Months of age
Search Engines	PubMed, Cochrane, Google
Government/State Agencies	National Guideline Clearinghouse

### **Evidence Found with Searches**

Check Type of Evidence Found	Summary of Evidence – All Questions	Number of Articles Obtained
	Systematic Reviews	
	Meta-analysis articles	1
	Randomized Controlled Trials	2
	Non-randomized studies	27
	Review articles	
	Government/State agency regulations	
	Professional organization guidelines, white papers, ect.	
	Other:	

### **Evaluating the Quality of the Evidence**

The GRADE criteria were used to evaluate the quality of evidence presented in research articles reviewed during the development of this guideline. The table below defines how the quality of evidence is rated and how a strong versus a weak recommendation is established.

Recommendation		
Strong	Desirable effects clearly outweigh undesirable effects or vice versa	
Weak	Desirable effects closely balanced with undesirable effects	
Type of Evidence		
High	Consistent evidence from well-performed RCTs or exceptionally strong evidence from unbiased observational studies	
Moderate	Evidence from RCTs with important limitations (e.g., inconsistent results, methodological flaws, indirect evidence, or imprecise results) or unusually strong evidence from unbiased observational studies	
Low	Evidence for at least 1 critical outcome from observational studies, from RCTs with serious flaws or indirect evidence	
Very Low	Evidence for at least 1 critical outcome from unsystematic clinical observations or very indirect evidence	

## References

- 1. Byington CL, Enriquez FR, Hoff C, et al. Serious bacterial infections in febrile infants 1 to 90 days old with and without viral infections. Pediatrics 2004;113(6):1662–6.
- 2. Caviness AC, Demmler GJ, Almendarez Y, Selwyn BJ. The prevalence of neonatal herpes simplex virus infection compared with serious bacterial illness in hospitalized neonates. J Pediatr 2008;153(2):164–9.
- 3. Hui C, Neto G, Tsertsvadze A, et al. Diagnosis and management of febrile infants (0-3 months). Evid ReportTechnology Assess 2012;(205):1–297.
- 4. Gomez B, Mintegi S, Bressan S, et al. Validation of the "Step-by-Step" Approach in the Management of Young Febrile Infants. Pediatrics 2016;138(2).
- 5. Caviness, AC et al The prevalence of neonatal herpes simplex virus infection compared with serious bacterial illness in hospitalized neonates. J Pediatric. 2008;153(2):164
- 6. Byington CL, et al. Serious bacterial infections in febrile infants 1 to 90 days old with and without viral infections. Pediatrics. 2004;113(6):1662
- 7. Pantell RH et al, Management and outcomes of care of fever in early infancy. JAMA. 2004;291(10):1203
- Hui C, Neto G, Tsertsvadze A, et al. Diagnosis and Management of Febrile Infants (0-3 months). Evidence Report/ Technology Assessment No. 205 (Prepared by the University of Ottawa: Evidence-based Practice Center under Contract No. HHSA 290-2007-10059-I). AHRQ Publication No. 12-E004-EF. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. March 2012. Available at

http://www.ahrq.gov/research/findings/evidence-based-reports/febrinftp.html (Accessed August 3, 2015).

- 9. Sadow KB et al, Bacterial infections in infants 60 days and younger: epidemiology, resistance, and implications for treatment. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 1999;153(6):611
- 10. Biondi E et al, Epidemiology of bacteremia in febrile infants in the United States Pediatrics. 2013 Dec; 132(6):990-6. Epub 2013 Nov 11.
- 11. Xiao-Qing Lv, Ling-He Qian, Tai Wu, and Tian-Ming, Yuan. Enterovirus infection in febrile neonates: A hospitalbased prospective cohort study
- 12. A. Martinez Planas; C. Munoz Almagro. Clinical Microbiology and Infection 2012; 18: 856-861. Low prevalence of invasive bacterial infection in febrile infants under 3 months of age with enterovirus infection
- 13. Mohammad Javad Ghabouli Shahroodi, Kiarash Ghazvini, et al. Enteroviral in Neonates and Children of Mashhad, Iran. J. Microbiol. 2016 May; 9(5)
- 14. Calvo Cristina et al. Enterovirus neurological disease and bacterial coinfection in very young infants with fever. Journal of Clical Virology 85 (2016) 37-39
- 15. Schroeder AR, Chang PW, Shen MW, Biondi EA, Greenhow TL. Diagnostic Accuracy of the Urinalysis for Urinary Tract Infection in Infants <3 Months of Age. Pediatrics. 2015 Jun; 135(6): 965-71.
- 16. Lin DS, Huang SH, Lin CC, Tung YC, Chiu NC. Urinary Tract Infection in Febrile Infants Younger Than Eight Weeks of Age. Pediatrics. 2000 Feb; 105(2) e20.
- 17. Shaw KN, McGowan KL, Gorelick MH, Schwartz JS. Screening for Urinary Tract Infection in Infants in the Emergency Department: Which Test is Best? Pediatrics. 1998 Jun; 101(6) e1.
- 18. Hoberman A, Wald ER, Penchansky L, Reynolds EA, Young S. Enhanced Urinalysis as a Screening Test for Urinary Tract Infection. Pediatrics. 1993 Jun; 91(6) 1196-1199.
- 19. Crain EF, Gershel JC. Urinary Tract Infections in Febrile Infants Younger Than 8 weeks of Age. Pediatrics. 1990 Sep; 86(3) 363-367.
- 20. Nigrovic LE, Malley R, Kuppermann N. Meta-analysis of bacterial meningitis score validation studies. Arch Dis Child. 2012 Sept;97(9):799-805.
- 21. Nigrovic LE, Kuppermann N, Macias CG, Cannavino CR. Clinical prediction rule for identifying children with cerebrospinal fluid pleocytosis at very low risk for bacterial meningitis. JAMA. 2007 Jan 3;297(1);52-60.
- 22. Ashkenazi-Hoffnung L, Livni G, Amir J, et al. Serious bacterial infections in hospitalized febrile infants aged 90 days or younger: The traditional combination of ampicillin and gentamicin is still appropriate. *Scandinavian Journal of Infectious Disease* 2011; 43: 489-94.
- 23. Byington CL, Reynold CC, Korgenski K, et al. Costs and infant outcomes after implementation of a care process model for febrile infants. *Pediatrics* 2012; 130: 1-S18.

- 24. Byington CL, Rittichier KK, Bassett KE, et al. Serious bacterial infections in febrile infants younger than 90 days of age: the importance of ampicillin-resistant pathogens. *Pediatr*. 2003; 111:964-968
- 25. Cantey JB, Lopez-Medina E, Nguyen S, et al. Empiric antibiotics for serious bacterial infection in young infants. *Pediatr Emer Care* 2015; 31: 568-71.
- 26. Greenhow TL, HungYY, HerzAM. Changing epidemiology of bacteremia in infants aged 1 week to 3 months. Pediatrics. 2012;129:e590–e596.
- 27. Harvey D, Holt DE, Bedford H. Bacterial meningitis in the newborn: A prospective study of mortality and morbidity. Seminars in Perinatology 1999; 23: 218-25.
- 28. Hasoon A, Stankovic C, Rogers A, et al. Listeria and enterococcal infections in neonates 28 days of age and younger. *Pediatr Emer Care* 2014; 30: 240-3.
- 29. Hon KL, Ting JY, Chow CM, et al. Microbiologic agents in parent-reported neonatal fever. Journal of Tropical Pediatrics 2015; 61, 448-54.
- 30. Marom R, Sakran W, Antonelli J, et al. Quick identification of febrile neonates with low risk for serious bacterial infection: an observational study. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* 2007;92:F15-18.
- 31. Watt K, Waddle E, Jhaveri R. Changing epidemiology of serious bacterial infections in febrile infants without localizing signs. PLoS One. 2010;5:e12448.
- 32. Zaidi AK, Tikmani SS, Warraich HJ, et al. Community-based treatment of serious bacterial infections in newborns and young infants: a randomized controlled trial assessing three antibiotic regimens. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2012;31:667–672.
- Downie L, Armiento R, Subhi R, et al. Community-acquired neonatal and infant sepsis in developing countries: efficacy of WHO's currently recommended antibiotics—systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Dis Child. 2013;98:146–154 (not included above).
- 34. Tessin I, Trollfors B, Thiringer K, et al. Concentrations of ceftazidime, tobramycin, and ampicillin in the cerebrospinal fluid of newborn infants. *European Journal of Pediatrics* 1989; 148: 679-81.
- 35. Garcia-Prats JA, Cooper TR, Schneider VF, et al. Rapid detection of microorganisms in blood cultures of newborn infants utilizing an automated blood culture system. *Pediatrics* 2000; 105: 523-7.
- 36. Guerti K, Devos H, Ieven MM, et al. Time to positivity of neonatal blood cultures: fast and furious? *J Med Microbiol* 2011; 60: 446-53.
- 37. Jardine L, Davies MW, Faoagali J, et al. Incubation time required for neonatal blood cultures to become positive. *Journal of Pediatrics and Child Health;* 2006: 797-802.
- 38. Kaplan RL, Harper MB, Baskin MN, et al. Time to detection of positive cultures in 28- to 90-day-old febrile infants. *Pediatrics* 2000;106:1-4.
- 39. Kurlat I, Stoll BJ, McGowan JE. Time to positivity for detection of bacteremia in neonates. J Clin Microbiol 1989; 27: 1068-71.
- 40. Kumar Y, Qunibi M, Neal TJ, et al. Time to positivity of neonatal blood cultures. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed* 2001; 85: F182-6.
- 41. Janjindamai W, Phetpisal S. Time to positivity of blood culture in newborn infants. *Southeast Asian J Trop med Public Health* 2006; 37: 171-6.
- 42. Evans RC, Fine BR. Time to detection of bacterial cx in infants aged 0 to 90 days. *Hosp Pediatr* 2013; 3 97-102.
- 43. Seattle Children's Hospital, Bishop J, Ackley H, Beardsley E, Davis J, Goldenberg C, Kronman M, Leu M, May A, Pak D, Ringer C, 2013 August. Rheumatology New Diagnosis Pathway. Available from: <u>http://www.seattlechildrens.org/pdf/neonatal-fever-pathway.pdf</u>
- 44. Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center. "Fever of Uncertain Source". 2010
- 45. Diagnosis and management of febrile infants (0-3 months). http://www.ahrq.gov/clinic/tp/febrinftp.htm;. Updated 2012.
- 46. Greenhow TL, Hung YY, Herz AM, Losada E, Pantell RH. The changing epidemiology of serious bacterial infections in young infants. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2014;33(6):595–599
- Levasseur KA, Stankovic C, Duffy E, Du W, Mahajan P. Prevalence of serious bacterial infections in return visits to the emergency department among infants aged 90 days or younger. Pediatr Emerg Care. 2014;30(10): 694–698
- 48. Hassoun A, Stankovic C, Rogers A, et al. Listeria and enterococcal infections in neonates 28 days of age and younger: is empiric parenteral ampicillin still indicated? Pediatr Emerg Care. 2014;30(4):240–243
- 49. Biondi EA, McCulloh R, Staggs VS, et al; American Academy of Pediatrics' Revise Collaborative. Reducing Variability in the Infant Sepsis Evaluation (REVISE): a national quality initiative. Pediatrics. 2019;144(3): e20182201





Physician Champion: Lynsey Vaughan

Revision HistoryDate Approved:September 2022Next Review Date:September 2026Revision History:Updates to algorithms were made

September 2022 September 2026 Updates to algorithms were made to align with the AAP VIP Network – REVISE II Project

<u>EBOC Team:</u> Lynsey Vaughan, MD Kelsey Fath, MD Colin Mervak, MD Kathleen Berg, MD Marisol Fernandez, MD Jennifer Goodrich, MD Anna Schlechter, MD Kelly Bundick, Pharm Lynn Thoreson, DO Jennifer Hughes, MD Carmen Garudo, EBOC PM EBOC Leadership Team: Sarmistha Hauger, MD Patty Click, RN Sujit Iyer, MD Lynn Thoreson, DO Tory Meyer, MD Nilda Garcia, MD Meena Iyer, MD Amanda Puro, MD

**LEGAL DISCLAIMER:** The information provided by Dell Children's Medical Center (DCMC), including but not limited to Clinical Pathways and Guidelines, protocols and outcome data, (collectively the "Information") is presented for the purpose of educating patients and providers on various medical treatment and management. The Information should not be relied upon as complete or accurate; nor should it be relied on to suggest a course of treatment for a particular patient. The Clinical Pathways and Guidelines are intended to assist physicians and other health care providers in clinical decision-making by describing a range of generally acceptable approaches for the diagnosis, management, or prevention of specific diseases or conditions. These guidelines should not be considered inclusive of all proper methods of care or exclusive of other methods of care reasonably directed at obtaining the same results. The ultimate judgment regarding care of a particular patient must be made by the physician in light of the individual circumstances presented by the patient. DCMC shall not be liable for direct, indirect, special, incidental or consequential damages related to the user's decision to use this information contained herein.

